




# Substances led to Psychosis: A Systematic Review

Isaac Karimi<sup>1</sup> and Nioosha Pooyanmehr<sup>2,\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory for Computational Physiology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Razi University 67149-67346, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Social Sciences, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



\*Address correspondence to this author at the Faculty of Social Sciences, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran; E-mail: [nishapooyan01@gmail.com](mailto:nishapooyan01@gmail.com)

Published: May 30, 2024

Cite as: Karimi I, Pooyanmehr N. Substances led to Psychosis: A Systematic Review. Open Psychol J, 2024; 17: e18743501297735. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118743501297735240510161825>



Send Orders for Reprints to [reprints@benthamscience.net](mailto:reprints@benthamscience.net)

## PRISMA Checklist

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Reported (Yes/No)
<b>TITLE</b>			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Yes
<b>BACKGROUND</b>			-
Objectives	2	Provide an explicit statement of the main objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Yes
<b>METHODS</b>			-
Eligibility criteria	3	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review.	Yes
Information sources	4	Specify the information sources (e.g. databases, registers) used to identify studies and the date when each was last searched.	Yes
Risk of bias	5	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies.	No
Synthesis of results	6	Specify the methods used to present and synthesise results.	Yes
<b>RESULTS</b>			-
Included studies	7	Give the total number of included studies and participants and summarise relevant characteristics of studies.	Yes
Synthesis of results	8	Present results for main outcomes, preferably indicating the number of included studies and participants for each. If meta-analysis was done, report the summary estimate and confidence/credible interval. If comparing groups, indicate the direction of the effect (i.e. which group is favoured).	Yes
<b>DISCUSSION</b>			-
Limitations of evidence	9	Provide a brief summary of the limitations of the evidence included in the review (e.g. study risk of bias, inconsistency and imprecision).	Yes
Interpretation	10	Provide a general interpretation of the results and important implications.	-
<b>OTHER</b>			-
Funding	11	Specify the primary source of funding for the review.	No
Registration	12	Provide the register name and registration number.	No

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71