The Open Psychology Journal

DOI: 10.2174/0118743501327198240918080833, 2024, 17, e18743501327198

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Resilience, Dispositional Hope, and Psychological Well-Being Among College Students: A Systematic Review

Zheng Yan^{1,*}, Ezarina Zakaria², Noremy Md Akhir² and Nazirah Hassan²

¹Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, The National University of Malaysia, BangiSelangor 43600, Malaysia ²Centre of Psychology and Human Wellbeing Studies, The National University of Malaysia, BangiSelangor 43600, Malaysia

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2024 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Centre of Psychology and Human Wellbeing Studies, The National University of Malaysia, Bangi Selangor 43600, Malaysia; E-mail: zhengyan_3@qq.com.

Cite as: Yan Z, Zakaria E, Akhir N, Hassan N. Resilience, Dispositional Hope, and Psychological Well-Being Among College Students: A Systematic Review. Open Psychol J, 2024; 17: e18743501327198. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118743501327198240918080833



cc

Published: ?? ??. 2024

 (\mathbf{i})

PRISMA 2020 CHECKLIST

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist Item	Location where Item is Reported	
TITLE				
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	1	
ABSTRACT			-	
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	1-2	
INTRODUCTION				
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	2-4	
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	4	
METHODS			-	
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	5	
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	5	
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	5	
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	6	
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	6	
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	7-9	
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	7-9	





OPEN ACCESS

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist Item	Location where Item is Reported
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	10
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	11
	12 presentation of results. 13a Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)). 13b Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions. 13c Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses. 13d Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used. 13e Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression). 13f Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). sement 15 Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. <i>i</i> fa Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. 16a Describe that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded. stristics 17 Cite each inc	11-14	
Synthesis methods Synthesis methods Reporting bias assessment Certainty assessment RESULTS Study selection Study characteristics Risk of bias in studies Results of individual studies Results of syntheses	13b		11-14
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	11-14
	13d	was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical	11-14
	13e		11-14
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	11-14
	14		N/A
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	11
RESULTS			-
	16a		10
Study selection	16b		10
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	12-14
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	15
	19	(b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or	12-14
	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	14-15
Results of syntheses	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	16-17
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	N/A
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	N/A
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	N/A
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	N/A
DISCUSSION			-
	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	18-19
Discussion			18-19
Discussion	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	18-19
	100 missing summary statistics, or data conversions. 111 13c Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses. 111 13d Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis, meta-regression. 111 13d Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis, meta-regression. 111 13d Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis, meta-regression. 111 13d Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). 111 14t Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). 111 15 Describe any methods used to assess relation process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. 110 16a Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. 110 17b Cite each included study and present its characteristics. 122 17c Cite each included study and present its characteristics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using	18-19	
OTHER INFORMATIC)N		-
Registration and protocol	24a	that the review was not registered.	Not registered
			N/A
	24c		N/A
Support	25	sponsors in the review.	20
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	20
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.	N/A

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

For more information, visit: http://www.prisma-statement.org/